#### Case 2:21-cr-00185-WBS Document 35 Filed 02/04/22 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ROSANNE L. RUST MICHAEL D. ANDERSON Assistant United States Attorneys 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:21-CR-00185-WBS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING USE OF	
13	v.	VIDEOCONFERENCING DURING SENTENCING HEARING; ORDER	
14	AKRUM ALRAHIB,	DATE: February 14, 2022 TIME: 9:00 a.m.	
15	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb	
16			
17	BACKGROUND		
18	On October 4, 2021, the defendant Akrum Alrahib agreed to waive indictment and was charged		
19	by information. ECF Nos. 1, 6, 8. On November 8, 2021, via video teleconference, Alrahib pleaded		
20	guilty to Count One of the Information, charging conspiracy to commit mail fraud, pursuant to a plea		
21	agreement. ECF Nos. 4, 18. This matter is now set for a sentencing hearing on February 14, 2022, at		
22	9:00 a.m.		
23	On March 27, 2020, Congress passed the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act		
24	(the "CARES Act"). The CARES Act empowered the Judicial Conference of the United States and		
25	Chief District Judges to authorize plea and sentencing hearings by video or telephonic conference when		
26	such hearings cannot be conducted in person without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety,		
27	and "the district judge in a particular case finds for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that		
28	case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice." Coronavirus Aid, Relief		

and Economic Security Act, Pub. L. No. 116-23, § 15002(b)(2), 134 Stat. 281, 527–29 (2020).

On March 29, 2020, the Judicial Conference of the United States made the findings required by the CARES Act, concluding that "emergency conditions due to the national emergency declared by the President under the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. § 1601, et seq.) with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) have materially affected and will materially affect the functioning of the federal courts generally."

On December 21, 2021, for the reasons set forth in General Orders 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 620, 621, 624, 628, 630, 631, 635, and 640, the Chief Judge of this District, per General Order 640, extended the findings and authorizations required by the CARES Act for another ninety days from the date of entry of General Order 640. In order to authorize change of plea and sentencing hearings by remote means, however, the CARES Act—as implemented by the General Orders listed above—also requires district courts in individual cases to find, for specific reasons, that felony pleas and sentencings cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. *Id.* The General Orders listed above require that the defendant consent to remote proceedings. Finally, remote proceedings must be conducted by videoconference unless "video teleconferencing is not reasonably available." *Id.* In such cases, district courts may conduct hearings by teleconference. *Id.* 

The parties hereby stipulate and agree that each of the requirements of the CARES Act and the General Orders listed above have been satisfied in this case. They request that the Court enter an order making the specific findings required by the CARES Act and the General Orders listed above. Specifically, for the reasons further set forth below, the parties agree that:

- 1. The sentencing hearing in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interest of justice, given the public health restrictions on physical contact, the fact that the defendant has already pleaded guilty, and the backlog of cases that is likely to increase in the Eastern District of California if criminal matters do not resolve by videoconference when the defendant consents and once an agreement has been reached between the parties and they are ready for sentencing;
- 2. The defendant waives his physical presence at the hearing and consents to remote hearing by videoconference; and
  - 3. Defense counsel joins in that waiver.

# 

# 

### 

# 

## 

#### 

## 

## 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

TIDLII ATION AND [PRODOSED] ORDED R

#### **STIPULATION**

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and the defendant, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The Governor of the State of California declared a Proclamation of a State of Emergency to exist in California on March 4, 2020.
- 2. On March 13, 2020, the President of the United States issued a proclamation declaring a National Emergency in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 3. In their evolving guidance, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (the "CDC") and other public health authorities have suggested the public avoid crowds, practice physical distancing between individuals, and wear masks in indoor settings under certain conditions to potentially slow the spread of COVID-19 and its variants, such as the highly contagious, current Omicron variant.
- 4. On March 17, 2020, the Court issued General Order 611, noting the President's and Governor of California's emergency declarations and CDC guidance, and indicating that public health authorities within the Eastern District of California had taken measures to limit the size of gatherings and practice social distancing. General Order 612, which issued the following day, provided that if any criminal matters are maintained on calendar, to the fullest extent possible they should be conducted by telephone or videoconference.
- 5. General Order 614, issued on March 30, 2020, found that felony plea and sentencing hearings generally could not be conducted in person in this district without seriously jeopardizing public health and safety. General Order 614 also authorized, under authority of the CARES Act, videoconferencing and telephone conferencing in different criminal proceedings. General Order 614 allowed for use of videoconferencing technology for felony change of plea and sentencing hearings with the defendant's consent, if a judge finds that the hearing cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice.
- 6. On April 16, 2020, the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit declared a judicial emergency in this district pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3174(d), based on the Eastern District of California's critically low resources across its heavy caseload. The report accompanying the Judicial Council's declaration analyzed the public safety dangers associated with the COVID-19 pandemic and examined

#### Case 2:21-cr-00185-WBS Document 35 Filed 02/04/22 Page 4 of 5

Ninth Circuit	
ict is currently	
report further	
C lifts its	
d 640 have also	
VID-19 crisis,	
nt General Order	
extending their provisions.	
matters as	
possible via videoconference and teleconference during the COVID-19 pandemic. By holding these	
ninal and civil	
matters once in-person hearings resume to a pre-pandemic extent.	
without serious	
harm to the interests of justice. If the Court were to delay this hearing until it can be held in person, it	
would only add to the enormous backlog of criminal and civil matters facing the Court, and every judge	
e sentencing	
hearing by videoconference. Defense counsel joins in this consent.	

/s/ MALCOLM SEGAL MALCOLM SEGAL **WILLIAM PORTANOVA** Counsel for Defendant **AKRUM ALRAHIB** 

Dated: February 3, 2022

25

26

27

28

#### Case 2:21-cr-00185-WBS Document 35 Filed 02/04/22 Page 5 of 5

# 

#### FINDINGS AND ORDER

- 1. The Court adopts the findings above.
- 2. Further, the Court specifically finds that:
- a) The sentencing hearing in this case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice; and
- b) The defendant has waived his physical presence at the sentencing hearing and consents to remote hearing by videoconference.
- 3. Therefore, based on the findings above, and under the Court's authority under § 15002(b) of the CARES Act and General Orders 614 and 640, the sentencing hearing in this case will be conducted by videoconference.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2022

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

am Is shibt